

August 2004

Item No. 01-182.00

US 51 Planning *Study*

Clinton, Kentucky
Hickman County

Final Report



Prepared for:

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
Division of Planning

Kentucky Transportation Cabinet
District 1

Prepared by:



In Association With:

Jordan, Jones and Goulding, Inc.
Third Rock Consultants, LLC
Cultural Resource Analysts, Inc.

Summary of
Findings and Recommendations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES 1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Study Objectives	1
1.2 Project Location and Study Area	2
1.3 Study Process.....	2
2.0 STUDY ISSUES AND GOALS	4
2.1 Project Issues	4
2.2 Project Goals	5
3.0 EXISTING AND FUTURE NO-BUILD CONDITIONS	7
3.1 US 51 Highway Characteristics and Average Daily Traffic Volumes	7
3.2 Other Study Area Roadways and Average Daily Traffic Volumes	8
3.3 Truck Volumes.....	9
3.4 Spot Speeds	10
3.5 Traffic Analysis Methodology	11
3.6 Existing Traffic Operating Conditions.....	13
3.7 Future No-Build Traffic Operating Conditions	13
3.8 Crash Analysis.....	16
3.9 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	17
3.10 Existing and Future No-Build Traffic and Highway Conditions Summary.....	17
4.0 REVIEW OF RELATED STUDIES	19
5.0 PAST AND FUTURE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS	20
6.0 HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	22
6.1 Socioeconomic Profile	22
6.2 Environmental Justice.....	23
6.3 Land Use	23
6.4 Agricultural Activity and Prime and Unique Farmland	24
6.5 Underground Storage Tanks/Hazardous Materials	24
6.6 Previously Documented Cultural Historic and Archeological Sites	24
7.0 NATURAL ENVIRONMENT OVERVIEW	25
7.1 Aquatic Ecosystems.....	25

7.2	Terrestrial Ecosystems	25
8.0	GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW	26
9.0	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION.....	27
9.1	Public Involvement Program Summary.....	27
9.2	Agency Coordination.....	29
10.0	ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT.....	32
10.1	Alternatives Development Process	32
10.2	Preliminary Alternatives	32
10.2.1	Alternative 1 – No-Build	32
10.2.2	Alternative 2 – Spot Improvements.....	32
10.2.3	Alternative 3 – Reconstruct US 51 as a Two-Lane Roadway with Center Two-Way Left Turn Lane	34
10.2.4	Alternative 4A – Western Bypass Option A.....	35
10.2.5	Alternative 4B – Western Bypass Option B.....	35
10.2.6	Alternative 5A – Near Eastern Bypass Option A.....	35
10.2.7	Alternative 5B – Near Eastern Bypass Option B.....	36
10.2.8	Alternative 6A – Far Eastern Bypass Option A	36
10.2.9	Alternative 6B – Far Eastern Bypass Option B	36
10.2.10	Alternative 7 – Bypass Immediately East of Town.....	36
10.2.11	Alternative 8 – One-Way Street System Options	36
10.2.12	Alternative 9 – Western Bypass (West of Railroad).....	38
11.0	EVALUATION METHODOLOGY.....	39
12.0	LEVEL 1 EVALUATION – INITIAL SCREENING	40
12.1	Level 1 Evaluation Summary	40
12.2	Level 1 Analysis Summary.....	50
13.0	LEVEL 2 EVALUATION – PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS	52
13.1	Level 2 Evaluation Summary	52
13.2	Level 2 Analysis Summary.....	66
14.0	LEVEL 3 EVALUATION – DETAILED ANALYSIS.....	67
14.1	Final Refinement.....	67
14.2	Alternative Refinement and Phasing.....	67
14.3	Level 3 Analysis Summary.....	69
14.3.1	Alternative 1 – No-Build	69
14.3.2	Alternative 2A – US 51 in the Vicinity of Cresap Street	70
14.3.3	Alternative 2B – US 51 (Washington Street) at KY 58 / KY 123 (Clay Street).....	71

14.3.4 Alternative 2C – Vicinity of US 51 (Washington St.) and KY 58 (Mayfield Road).....	73
14.3.5 Alternative 3 – Reconstruct US 51 as a Two-Lane Roadway with Center Two-Way Left Turn Lane	74
14.3.6 Alternative 6A – Far Eastern Bypass Option A	76
14.3.7 Alternative 9 – Western Bypass (West of Railroad)	78
15.0 RECOMMENDED PLAN	81
15.1 Final Alternatives Comparison	81
15.2 Recommended Plan	82
15.3 Difference of Opinion Regarding the Preferred Alternative	83
16.0 PROPOSED DESIGN / MITIGATION AND NEXT STEPS	85
16.1 Design Elements.....	85
16.2 Bicycle / Pedestrian Facilities	85
16.3 Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS).....	85
16.4 Phasing and Funding.....	85
16.5 Commitment Action Plan	86
16.6 Next Steps / Implementation.....	87

APPENDIX A: TABLES

APPENDIX B: FIGURES

APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE REVIEW

APPENDIX D: ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW AND AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE

APPENDIX E: MEETING MINUTES

APPENDIX F: EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

APPENDIX G: TRAFFIC FORECAST SUMMARY

TABLE OF TABLES

IN REPORT:

TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF STUDY AREA ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS.....	9
TABLE 3: 2002 VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION COUNTS	10
TABLE 4: HISTORIC VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION COUNTS ON US 51	10
TABLE 5: US 51 SPEED DATA SUMMARY	11
TABLE 6: LOS CRITERIA FOR INTERSECTIONS.....	12
TABLE 7: LOS CRITERIA FOR TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS	12
TABLE 8: 2002 INTERSECTION LOS SUMMARY	13
TABLE 9: PM PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE FOR NO-BUILD SCENARIO	15
TABLE 10: PM PEAK HOUR TWO-LANE LEVELS OF SERVICE FOR NO-BUILD SCENARIO	16
TABLE 11: SEGMENT CRASH ANALYSIS	16
TABLE 12: SPOT CRASH ANALYSIS	17

IN APPENDIX A:

TABLE 1: US 51 HIGHWAY CHARACTERISTICS DATA SUMMARY	
TABLE 13: US 51 AT MARTIN ROAD CRASH DETAILS	
TABLE 14: US 51 AT KY 780 (SOUTH) CRASH DETAILS	
TABLE 15: US 51 AT KY 780 (NORTH) CRASH DETAILS	
TABLE 16: HICKMAN COUNTY EMPLOYMENT BY MAJOR INDUSTRY (2000)	
TABLE 17: CLINTON AREA MAJOR MANUFACTURERS	
TABLE 18: HICKMAN COUNTY COMMUTING PATTERNS	
TABLE 19: CULTURAL HISTORIC OVERVIEW SURVEY	
TABLE 20: THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES	
TABLE 21: LEVEL 1 EVALUATION MATRIX	
TABLE 22: LEVEL 2 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT EVALUATION MATRIX	
TABLE 23: LEVEL 2 COMMUNITY AND IMPLEMENTATION / CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION MATRIX	
TABLE 24: LEVEL 3 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS EVALUATION MATRIX	
TABLE 25: LEVEL 3 ENVIRONMENT EVALUATION MATRIX	
TABLE 26: LEVEL 3 COMMUNITY EVALUATION MATRIX	
TABLE 27: LEVEL 3 IMPLEMENTATION / CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION MATRIX	

TABLE OF FIGURES

IN REPORT:

FIGURE 1: LOCATION OF STUDY AREA IN KENTUCKY.....	2
FIGURE 2: STUDY LOCATION	2
FIGURE 10: US 51 HISTORIC TRAFFIC VOLUMES (1983 TO 2002).....	14
FIGURE 14: HISTORIC POPULATION DATA (1970-2000).....	22
FIGURE 16: LAND USE.....	23
FIGURE 22: THREE-LEVEL EVALUATION PROCEDURE.....	39

IN APPENDIX B:

FIGURE 3: STUDY AREA MAP	
FIGURE 4: 2002 AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
FIGURE 5: US 51 HIGHWAY CHARACTERISTICS SUMMARY	
FIGURE 6: SELECTED STUDY AREA PICTURES	
FIGURE 7: VEHICLE CLASSIFICATION COUNT LOCATIONS, POSTED SPEED LIMITS, AND SPEED SURVEY LOCATIONS	
FIGURE 8: INTERSECTION CONTROLS, GEOMETRIES, AND 2002 PEAK HOUR VOLUMES	
FIGURE 9: INTERSECTION AND SEGMENT 2002 PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE	
FIGURE 11: EXISTING AND FUTURE NO-BUILD AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
FIGURE 12: NO-BUILD INTERSECTION AND SEGMENT 2030 PM PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE	
FIGURE 13: CRASH RATES AND CRASH LOCATIONS BY SEVERITY	
FIGURE 15: HUMAN ENVIRONMENT MAP	
FIGURE 17: CULTURAL HISTORIC OVERVIEW SURVEY	
FIGURE 18: NATURAL ENVIRONMENT MAP	
FIGURE 19: GEOLOGIC UNIT MAP	
FIGURE 20-A: ALL PRELIMINARY ALTERNATIVES	
FIGURE 20-B: EXISTING STREET NETWORK	
FIGURE 21: CONCEPTUAL TYPICAL SECTIONS	
FIGURE 23: ALTERNATIVE 2A – VICINITY OF US 51 AND CRESAP STREET	
FIGURE 24: ALTERNATIVE 2B – US 51 AT KY 58 / KY 123	
FIGURE 25: ALTERNATIVE 2C – US 51 AT KY 58	
FIGURE 26: ALTERNATIVE 3 – RECONSTRUCT US 51	
FIGURE 27: ALTERNATIVE 6A – EASTERN BYPASS	
FIGURE 28: ALTERNATIVE 9 – WESTERN BYPASS	